



# Open Regulatory Compliance Working Group Specification Committee Meeting

Specification Committee | Minutes

<b>Deliverable:</b>	2025-05-22
<b>Category:</b>	Meeting Minutes
<b>Owner:</b>	Specification Committee
<b>Status:</b>	Yes Approved
<b>License:</b>	CC-BY-SA 4.0
<b>Date:</b>	2025-05-22

# Open Regulatory Compliance Working Group Specification Committee Meeting

22 May 2025

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approval of the [minutes of the last Spec Committee meeting	Simon	5
Coordination and contributions to CEN/CENELEC and ETSI	Simon	35
AOB	All	5

Quorum - 50% of Representatives / >50% of Quorum Present for simple majority vote

## ATTENDEES

### Specification Committee Members

- No Mercedes-Benz: Daniel Dilger (Primary)
- No Nokia: Timo Perala (Primary),
- No Huawei: Adrian O'Sullivan (Primary)
- No Victor Roland, OBEO (Elected Participant) - *Arriving 16:15*
- No Brian Fox, Apache Software Foundation (Elected Foundation), Dirk-Willem van Gulik (Alaternate) - *Dirk-Willem leaving at 16:36*
- No Jeremy Stanley, Open Infrastructure Foundation (Elected Foundation)
- No Simon Phipps, Software Heritage (Elected Foundation)

### Eclipse Foundation Staff

- [ ] Tobie Langel
- [ ] Sharon Corbett
- No Juan Rico

- [ ] Wayne Beaton
- [ ] Paul Buck
- [ ] Mikael Barbero

## MINUTES

### Quorum:

Quorum reached 16:05

It was reminded the IP rules for the Specification Committee

### Minutes of the previous meeting

Motion brought and seconded with unanimous consensus

### Coordination and contributions to CEN/CENELEC and ETSI

Challenges:

- Involvement of the community and access to documents.
- Conflict of meetings as a symptom of how unaligned the two ESOs are operating
- EN18037 referencing - cost of documents that are referenced.

How the European Commission can make the documents available to everyone involved in the process.  
Not the first time this has happened,

It was agreed to report to the Commission that this way of working doesn't allow the Open Source community to get properly involved and participate.<sup>[1]</sup>

If the complaint does not provide any positive result, it would be necessary to escalate the situation in CNECT H2.

### AOB

- Timo on PT3 contributions - related PR - <https://github.com/orcwg/orcwg/pull/101/>
- Chapter in an informative session on Open Source Stewards - Contribution has been reviewed and

handled in the PT3 meeting.

- PT3 contribution from Simon - the list of features expected from an SBOM a unique identifier to the build included in the component. This could be satisfied by ISO/IEC 18670:2025 (SWHID from my sponsor Software Heritage).

## Resolutions

- Minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

## Next Meeting

June 19th, 2025 - 16:00

**Meeting Adjournment** 16:47

---

## Notes

[1] During the meeting was planned to make it by email, but it was reported to be minuted as part of the CRA Expert Group meeting on June 2nd.