



Open Regulatory Compliance Working Group Steering Committee Meeting

Steering Committee | Minutes

Deliverable:	2026-05-21
Category:	Meeting Minutes
Owner:	Steering Committee
Status:	Yes Approved
License:	CC-BY-SA 4.0
Date:	2026-05-21

Committee: Steering Committee Document type: Minutes Status: Yes Approved Date: 2026-05-21
 Approved: 2026-07-02 # **Open Regulatory Compliance Working Group** Steering Committee Meeting

###

21 May, 2026

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approval of the minutes of the previous ORC Steering Committee call	Juan	5
Q1 results review	Juan	15
AI Policy and Compliance SIG Approval	Juan	5
Update and formalisation of working mode	Tobie	10
Interplay between Cyber Resilience and the AI Policy and Compliance SIGs	Juan	10
Training platform	Juan	5
AOB	Juan	5

Quorum - 50% of Representatives / >50% of Quorum Present for simple majority vote Quorum is 4 with 3 votes affirmative for simple majority

ATTENDEES

Steering Committee Members

- No Nokia: Timo Perala (Primary)
- No Huawei: Adrian O'Sullivan (Primary)
- No Red Hat: Roman Zhukov (Primary), Dave Russo (Alternate)
- No Victor Roland, OBEO (Elected Participant)
- [] Dirk-Willem van Gulik, Apache Software Foundation (Elected Foundation)
- No Olle E. Johansson, OWASP Foundation (Elected Foundation)

Eclipse Foundation Staff

- [] Sharon Corbett
- No Juan Rico
- [] Shanda Giacomoni
- No Ciarán O'Riordan
- No Tobie Langel

MINUTES

Meeting Chair: Timo Perälä

Quorum:

Quorum reached at 16:02

Notes:

Approval of the minutes of the previous ORC Steering Committee call

- Approved by the SC and will be uploaded to the governance part of the repository

ORC Q1 summary

- It was presented the community representation progress:
 - Q1 didn't progress as expected in members recruitment, the biggest challenge was targeting members that can join as strategic, this implies longer decision making times, and therefore it resulted in no new members.
 - The collaboration with associations is progressing well, it was requested by the Steering Committee members more communication to understand the extent of the conversations. It will be included as a topic to report periodically in the Steering Committee meetings.
 - Finally the cooperation with other Open source groups is progressing, but still the cooperation with OpenSSF is not happening. We have found disagreement in some topics like attestations.
- It was also presented the status of the key activities per role (Eclipse Foundation, WG members, and the open source community). In general there is progress in most of the areas identified, but some of them requires an extra push:

- Coordination on standardization activities - this is not happening today in the WG and it is necessary to analyse how to make it more relevant as part of the WG activities involving all the actors.
- Recruitment also requires an important push, WG members need to help Eclipse Foundation team to recruit more members to achieve the objectives set. - It was proposed to create a table to monitor membership and revenue per quarter, the Program manager will build it and share it with the group.
- Currently the FAQ is not that active, it was suggested during the meeting that the new AI SIG and the interplay with the CRA could be good topics to be added.
- The specification work started, and given the circumstances with the vertical standards not referencing the horizontal, specially relevant for vulnerability handling, there is an opportunity to make an impact here.

AI Policy and Compliance SIG approval

- The proposal is attached in the supporting materials section and it was distributed timely to the ORC WG Steering Committee members.
- The Steering Committee approved unanimously the creation of the AI policy and compliance SIG.

Formalisation of working mode

- It was taken the opportunity of the creation of the AI Policy and Compliance SIG to formalise the working mode for the working group activities.
- This proposal is not aiming to substitute any of the existing governance procedures already established in the [EF operations guide](#), but to formalise the way the working group has been operating, that complements the previous the already established processes.
- The proposal specifically in the definition of the deliverables, its acceptance and lifecycle. The proposal is available [here](#).
- It highlighted the editor role and its relevance in the process of moving a deliverable from planned to work in progress.
- It was discussed to add blog posting to the working mode, but since the process is a bit intense for SC, it was proposed to bring to the Steering Committee the planned communications, so the SC is aware and can help to refine the planning. The marketing plan presented at the beginning of the year included the proposal, but for the next meeting an updated plan will be presented.
- After the discussion, the Steering Committee approved the proposal and was merged into the main branch of the GitHub organization.

Interplay between Cyber Resilience and the AI Policy and Compliance SIGs

- It was proposed to maintain a single working group calendar adding a tag before the name of the event to identify to which SIG the event belongs [Cyber SIG] [AI SIG].
- Since the audiences are different and to keep the channels as relevant as possible we are implementing two separated mailing lists:
 - Cyber Resilience SIG will keep communicating through the existing list.
 - AI Policy and Compliance will have its own mailing list.
 - General WG topics will be shared in both mailing lists.
- In terms of Github organization we will follow the principle of maximum relevance and work continuation. As an example CRA - AI Act interplay will be hosted under the cyber resilience sig Github branch, but communication will happen on both mailing lists.

ORC Training Status and next steps

- Training is almost ready to be launched, access will be offered to the SC members in the coming days for testing.

AOB

No time for AOB

Adjournment

The meeting was closed at 17:01

Resolutions

- Resolved the Steering Committee approved unanimously the creation of the AI policy and compliance SIG.

Supporting materials

2026-05-21-orcwg-sc-presentation.pdf (attached below) 2026-05-21-Proposal for the ORC - AI Policy and Compliance SIG.pdf (attached below) ## Next Meeting

Next meeting date was not set. Meeting was set through the Steering Committee mailing list for July 2nd _____

Proposal for the AI Policy and Compliance SIG

Rationale

As stated in the *Vision and Scope* section of the Open Regulatory Compliance Working Group [Charter](#), the working group is chartered to “establish Special Interest Groups (SIGs) for domain-specific work.” Based on the successful implementation of the Cyber Resilience SIG and given the **growing relevance of AI regulation** to the open source community, it becomes necessary to launch a dedicated group to focus on those specific topics maintaining an active cooperation with the Cyber Resilience SIG already established. Following the same spirit as with the first SIG, the intention is to initially cover the AI Act and European regulations, but the ambition is to work across multiple markets with all the different regulations touching upon AI, policy and compliance.

Scope

The Scope of the Cyber Resilience SIG is a strict subset of the scope of the working group. Whereas the working group is chartered to address any kind of emerging regulation impacting open source, **this SIG is solely focused on Artificial Intelligence regulation, policy and standardisation.**

Initial Interested parties

The Proposal was shared in the open regulatory compliance public mailing list and the following member organization already expressed their desire to get involved: -

- Apache Software Foundation
- APELL
- Ferrous Systems
- FreeBSD Foundation
- GitHub
- Huawei
- Karakun AG
- Microsoft
- OBEO
- Open Elements
- OSI
- Red Hat
- Siemens
- Software Heritage - Inria
- Tauri
- Eurotech
- Open Culture Foundation

In addition there is also a large number of individuals that presented their interest to contribute to the initiative.

Proposed Leads

The lead for the initiative will be Dave Russo from Red Hat.